

Wireless Service Interruptions

The use of a wireless service is not a constitutional right. It has nothing to do with free speech. Turning off a piece of hardware is the owner's choice. What did we do before we had wireless service? We used other means of communication. If not having wireless service violates my right to free speech, then every time I drive into an area where there is no wireless coverage, the companies are violating my rights. Ridiculous. What's next? Demanding that wireless providers make phone coverage available in every square inch of the planet? Then I should be able to use my personal phone in an airplane. Then high school students should be permitted to use a phone during class. I should be permitted to drive down the road and text. This issue has nothing to do with free speech. Free speech means I can say what I want. It doesn't guarantee me the method of communication. If they don't like it they can text a letter to the editor.

FCC – stay out of this discussion. This issue has nothing to do with the government.